



Cancellation theorems for Kähler differentials

Shane Kelly

ABSTRACT

We give an elementary calculation of the internal hom from Kähler differentials to Kähler differentials, and from Milnor K -theory to Kähler differentials in the category of presheaves with transfers. This answers a question of Merici and Saito.

1. Introduction

In their article “Cancellation theorems for reciprocity sheaves”, [MS23], Merici and Saito generalise Voevodsky’s cancellation theorem from homotopy invariant sheaves with transfers to reciprocity sheaves. As an application of their theorem, they prove the following.

COROLLARY 1 ([MS23, Corollary 1.3, Proposition 7.2]). *Assume $\mathrm{ch}(k) = 0$. For integers $m, n \geq 0$, there are natural isomorphisms of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers*

$$\underline{\mathrm{hom}}_{\mathrm{PST}}(\Omega^n, \Omega^m) \cong \Omega^{m-n} \oplus \Omega^{m-n-1}, \quad (1)$$

$$\underline{\mathrm{hom}}_{\mathrm{PST}}(\mathcal{K}_n^M, \Omega^m) \cong \Omega^{m-n}, \quad (2)$$

where $\Omega^i = 0$ for $i < 0$ by convention.

They write:

It would be an interesting question if there is a direct proof of these formulas which does not use the machinery of modulus sheaves with transfers explained below.

We give such a direct proof.

2. Notation

Write Sm_k for the category of smooth k -schemes, with k a field, $\mathrm{PSh}(\mathrm{Sm}_k)$ for the category of presheaves of abelian groups on Sm_k , and $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathrm{Sm}_k)$ for the category of Zariski sheaves. The free abelian presheaf associated with a k -scheme X is written $\mathbb{Z}(X)$. The tensor product on $\mathrm{PSh}(\mathrm{Sm}_k)$ is written $\otimes$. (This is simultaneously the Day convolution induced by the cartesian product on Sm_k , and the objectwise tensor product. So $\mathbb{Z}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}(X \times Y)$ and $(F \otimes G)(T) = F(T) \otimes G(T)$.) Voevodsky’s category of transfers is denoted by SmCor_k . The category of additive presheaves of abelian groups on SmCor_k is written PST_k . The full subcategory of presheaves with transfers whose restrictions to Sm_k are Nisnevich sheaves is written NST_k . The presheaf with transfers represented by $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$ is written $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(X)$. The tensor product on NST_k is

Received 23 February 2023, accepted in final form 29 March 2023.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 14F10, 19D45, 19F15, 19E15.

Keywords: Kähler differentials, Milnor K -theory, algebraic cycles

This journal is © *Foundation Compositio Mathematica* 2024. This article is distributed with Open Access under the terms of the *Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License*, which permits non-commercial reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact the *Foundation Compositio Mathematica*.

written $\otimes^{\text{tr}}$. This is determined by $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X) \otimes^{\text{tr}} \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X \times Y)$ and the fact that it commutes with colimits in each variable.

By $\Omega^n \in \text{Shv}_{\text{Zar}}(\text{Sm}_k)$ we mean absolute Kähler differentials. A popular¹ definition of absolute Kähler differentials of an affine schemes is the quotient

$$\Omega^n(\text{Spec}(R)) = \frac{\bigoplus_{(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in R^n} R \, db_1 \dots db_n}{\left\langle \begin{array}{l} \text{additive in } b_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \text{Leibniz in } b_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \text{diagonals} \end{array} \right\rangle}$$

of the free R -module generated by formal symbols $db_1 \dots db_n$, where “diagonals” means $db_1 \dots db_i db_i \dots db_n = 0$.² We modify this definition slightly, replacing the free R -module with the free abelian group generated by symbols $adb_1 \dots db_n$ for $(a, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in R^{n+1}$ and also asking for additivity in a . (One checks directly that these two definitions agree: There is a canonical surjection of abelian groups from the latter to the former, which as a morphism of sets admits the canonical section $adb_1 \dots db_n \mapsto adb_1 \dots db_n$. This section is surjective by the linearity in a .)

Explicitly, in the language of sheaves, Ω^n is defined as the image of a surjection $\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}) \twoheadrightarrow \Omega^n$ in $\text{Shv}_{\text{Zar}}(\text{Sm}_k)$ whose kernel is generated by the images of the following morphisms, cf. (3):

- (*Additivity*) if $n = 0$, the map $\text{add}: \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}^1)$

$$(a, b) \mapsto (a + b) - (a) - (b),$$

and for $n > 0$, the maps $\text{add}_{n,i} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^i} \otimes \text{add} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^{n-i}}$, where $i = 0, \dots, n$;

- (*Diagonals*) the maps $\text{diag}_{n,i} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^i} \otimes \text{diag} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^{n-i-1}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, where $\text{diag}: \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ is

$$(a) \mapsto (a, a);$$

- (*Leibniz*) if $n = 1$, the map $\text{leib}: \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}^2)$

$$(a, b, c) \mapsto (a, bc) - (ab, c) - (ca, b),$$

and for $n > 1$, the maps $\text{leib}_n = \text{leib} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}}$.³

Lecomte and Wach showed in [LW09] that Kähler differentials admit a structure of transfers⁴ in the sense that there exist an $\Omega_{\text{tr}}^n \in \text{PST}_k$ and an isomorphism $\Omega_{\text{tr}}^n|_{\text{Sm}_k} \cong \Omega^n$.

By $\mathcal{K}_n^M \in \text{Shv}_{\text{Zar}}(\text{Sm}_k)$ we mean the Milnor K -theory Zariski sheaf, which is defined by a surjection $\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{G}_m^n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{K}_n^M$ in $\text{Shv}_{\text{Zar}}(\text{Sm}_k)$ whose kernel is generated by the images of the following morphisms:

- (*Linear*) if $n = 0$, the map $\text{add}: \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{G}_m^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{G}_m)$

$$(a, b) \mapsto (ab) - (a) - (b),$$

and for $n > 0$, the maps $\text{add}_{n,i} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{i-1}} \otimes \text{add} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{n-i}}$, where $i = 1, \dots, n$;

- (*Steinberg*) if $n = 2$, the map $\text{stein}: \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{G}_m \setminus \{1\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{G}_m^2)$

$$(x) \mapsto (x, 1 - x),$$

and for $n > 2$, the maps $\text{stein}_{n,i} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{i-1}} \otimes \text{stein} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{G}_m^{n-1-i}}$, where $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

¹Cf. [Har77, Section II.8 and Exercise II.5.16] or [Sta23, 00RM, 00DM].

²It will quickly become cumbersome to write $\wedge$, so we contract $dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_n$ to $dt_1 \dots dt_n$.

³Note we only need one leib thanks to the maps diag and add.

⁴Lecomte and Wach’s result is exclusively characteristic zero. The existence of transfers is also known in positive characteristic and is due to Kay Rülling.

Dégise showed in [Dég06] that, as in the case of Ω^n , the Milnor K -theory sheaves admit a structure of transfers.

3. Proofs

First we prove the non-transfers version of Corollary 1.

Proof that $\underline{\mathrm{hom}}_{\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Zar}}}(\Omega^n, \Omega^m) \cong \Omega^{m-n} \oplus \Omega^{m-n-1}$ in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathrm{Sm}_k)$. Recall that Ω^n is defined by a presentation in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathrm{Sm}_k)$,

$$\oplus_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}^{n_{\lambda}}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rel}} \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}) \rightarrow \Omega^n \rightarrow 0, \quad (3)$$

where rel is the sum of the $\mathrm{add}_{n,i}$, $\mathrm{diag}_{n,i}$, leib_n for appropriate i . Since $\underline{\mathrm{hom}}(-, \Omega^m)$ is right exact, it suffices to construct isomorphisms

$$\Omega^{m-n}(X) \oplus \Omega^{m-n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker(\Omega^m(X \times \mathbb{A}^{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rel}^*} \oplus_{\Lambda} \Omega^m(X \times \mathbb{A}^{n_{\lambda}}))$$

for smooth affine X which are functorial in X . We claim that

$$(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha t_0 dt_1 \dots dt_n + \beta dt_0 \dots dt_n \quad (4)$$

defines such isomorphisms. One sees readily that (4) lies in the kernel of rel^* and that it is functorial in X . So it suffices to see that every element of the kernel is in the image. It is well known that we can write a general $\omega \in \Omega^m(X \times \mathbb{A}^{n+1})$ uniquely as

$$\omega = \sum \omega_{i,j} t_0^{i_0} \dots t_n^{i_n} dt_{j_0} \dots dt_{j_q}$$

with $\omega_{i,j} \in \Omega_X^{m-q-1}$, where the sum is over all functions $i: \{0, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and all monomorphisms of totally ordered sets $j: \{0, \dots, q\} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n\}$ for $q = -1, \dots, n$.

The condition $\mathrm{add}_{n,k}^* \omega = 0$ implies that $\omega_{i,j} = 0$ unless either $i_k = 1$ and $k \notin \mathrm{im}(j)$, or $i_k = 0$ and $k \in \mathrm{im}(j)$ (here one uses that the Ω_X^μ are $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear). Combining these conditions for all k , we see that our element must have the form

$$\omega = \alpha dt_0 \dots dt_n + \sum_{i \in \{0, \dots, n\}} \beta_i t_i dt_0 \dots \widehat{dt_i} \dots dt_n.$$

The condition $\mathrm{diag}_{n,k}^* \omega = 0$ implies $\beta_k = -\beta_{k+1}$. Combining these conditions over $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ gives $\beta_k = (-1)^{k-1} \beta_1$ for $k > 1$. Finally, the condition $\mathrm{leib}_n^* \omega = 0$ implies that $\beta_1 = 0$. $\square$

Proof that $\underline{\mathrm{hom}}_{\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Zar}}}(\mathcal{K}_n^M, \Omega^m) \cong \Omega^{m-n}$ in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathrm{Sm}_k)$. The same argument as above reduces our task to constructing isomorphisms

$$\Omega^{m-n}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker(\Omega^m(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^n) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rel}^*} \oplus_{\Lambda} \Omega^m(X \times G_{\lambda}))$$

for smooth affine X which are functorial in X , where rel^* is now the sum of the morphisms induced by $\mathrm{add}_{n,i}$ and $\mathrm{stein}_{n,i}$ for appropriate i , and $G_{\lambda} = \mathbb{G}_m^{n+1}$ or $\mathbb{G}_m^{i-1} \times (\mathbb{G}_m \setminus \{1\}) \times \mathbb{G}_m^{n-1-i}$ as appropriate. We claim that

$$\omega \mapsto \omega \mathrm{dlog} t_1 \dots \mathrm{dlog} t_n \quad (5)$$

defines such isomorphisms. One sees readily that (5) lies in the kernel of rel^* and that it is functorial in X . So it suffices to see that every element of the kernel is in the image. It is well known that we can write a general $\omega \in \Omega^m(X \times \mathbb{G}_m^n)$ uniquely as

$$\omega = \sum \omega_{i,j} t_1^{i_1} \dots t_n^{i_n} \mathrm{dlog} t_{j_1} \dots \mathrm{dlog} t_{j_q}$$

with $\omega_{i,j} \in \Omega_X^{m-q}$, where the sum is over all functions $i: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and all monomorphisms of totally ordered sets $j: \{1, \dots, q\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ for $q = 0, \dots, n$. The condition $\text{add}_{n,k}^* \omega = 0$ implies that $\omega_{i,j} = 0$ unless $i_k = 0$ or $q \neq 0$. Combining these conditions for all k , we see that our element must be of the form $\omega = \sum \omega_j \text{dlog } t_{j_1} \dots \text{dlog } t_{j_q}$ with $\omega_j \in \Omega_X^{m-q}$, where the sum is over all totally ordered monomorphisms $\{1, \dots, q\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $q > 0$. The condition $\text{stein}_{n,i}^* \omega = 0$ implies that $\omega_j = 0$ if exactly one of k or $k+1$ is in the image of j . Combining these conditions for all k shows that only the $\text{id}: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ summand remains. $\square$

To upgrade the above $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Zar}}(\mathrm{Sm}_k)$ isomorphisms to NST_k isomorphisms, one applies [MS23, Lemma 3.1], attributed to Kay Rülling. We reproduce it for the convenience of the reader since it is particularly elementary in characteristic zero.

LEMMA 1. *For any $F \in \text{NST}_k$, $T \in \text{Sm}_k$, and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are canonical isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{NST}}(F, \underline{\mathrm{hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(T), \Omega_{\mathrm{tr}}^m)) \cong \mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{PSh}}(F|_{\mathrm{Sm}_k}, \underline{\mathrm{hom}}(\mathbb{Z}(T), \Omega^m)), \quad (6)$$

$$\underline{\mathrm{hom}}(F, \Omega_{\mathrm{tr}}^m)|_{\mathrm{Sm}} \cong \underline{\mathrm{hom}}(F|_{\mathrm{Sm}}, \Omega^m). \quad (7)$$

Proof. The surjectivity of (6) follows from the following fact: Since we are in characteristic zero, for any correspondence $\alpha \in \text{hom}_{\text{SmCor}_k}(Y, X)$, there exists some dominant étale morphism $f: V \rightarrow Y$ such that $\alpha \circ f: V \rightarrow X$ is a sum of morphisms in Sm_k . More explicitly, since $\Omega^m(Y \times T) \rightarrow \Omega^m(V \times T)$ is injective, if we have morphisms $\eta: F(W) \rightarrow \Omega^m(W \times T)$ functorial in $W \in \text{Sm}_k$, they are also functorial in SmCor_k :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(X) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} & F(Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & F(V) \\ \eta \downarrow & & \eta \downarrow & & \eta \downarrow \\ \Omega^m(X \times T) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} & \Omega^m(Y \times T) & \xrightarrow[f^*]{\subseteq} & \Omega^m(V \times T). \end{array}$$

The injectivity of (6) is because $\mathrm{Sm}_k \rightarrow \mathrm{SmCor}_k$ is essentially surjective. The bijectivity of (7) now follows by evaluating at some $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathrm{hom}}(F, \Omega_{\mathrm{tr}}^m)(X) &= \mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{NST}}(F \otimes^{\mathrm{tr}} \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(X), \Omega_{\mathrm{tr}}^m) = \mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{NST}}(F, \underline{\mathrm{hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(X), \Omega_{\mathrm{tr}}^m)), \\ &\stackrel{(6) \parallel}{=} \underline{\mathrm{hom}}(F|_{\mathrm{Sm}}, \Omega^m)(X) = \mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{PSh}}(F|_{\mathrm{Sm}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}(X), \Omega^m) = \mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{PSh}}(F|_{\mathrm{Sm}}, \underline{\mathrm{hom}}(\mathbb{Z}(X), \Omega^m)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- Dég06 F. Déglise, *Transferts sur les groupes de Chow à coefficients*, Math. Z. **252** (2006), no. 2, 315–343; doi:[10.1007/s00209-005-0855-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-005-0855-0).
- Har77 R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 52, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977); doi:[10.1007/978-1-4757-3849-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-3849-0).
- LW09 F. Lecomte and N. Wach, *Le complexe motivique de Rham*, Manuscripta Math. **129** (2009), no. 1, 75–90; doi:[10.1007/s00229-008-0248-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00229-008-0248-x).
- MS23 A. Merici and S. Saito, *Cancellation theorems for reciprocity sheaves*, Algebr. Geom. **10** (2023), no. 2, 148–198; doi:[10.14231/ag-2023-005](https://doi.org/10.14231/ag-2023-005).
- Sta23 The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*, 2023, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.

Shane Kelly shanekelly@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan